

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,963	01/26/2004	Shpak Eran	91366	9322
²⁴⁶²⁸ WELSH & KA	7590 09/24/2007 TZ. LTD		EXAMINER	
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/764,963	ERAN, SHPAK		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•		Christopher M. Brandt	2617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPS on a story of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDON to become ABANDON	NN, imely filed in the malling date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ce of Draftsperson's Patement(s) (PTO/SB/08) cer No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements submitted on 2/16/2007, 2/28/2007, 5/31/2007, 6/21/2007, 8/3/2007, 8/17/2007 have been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Response to Amendment

This Action is in response to the Applicant's amendment filed on June 18, 2007. This Action is made FINAL.

Response to Arguments

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

The Examiner assumed that Applicant's reasoning for the amendment was based on the interview with Daniel Kliger and that Dr. Kliger's arguments remain the same.

After reading and reviewing the Bajic reference closely, the examiner agrees with Dr. Kliger that Bajic does not disclose the amendment made to claims 1 and 28. However, after reading the Melpignano reference the examiner believes that Melpignano teaches the amended feature. The claim recites a time limit and Melpignano discloses an expiration timeout according to a specified WLAN protocol. As a result, Bajic and Melpignano read on the claims as currently written.

Art Unit: 2617

With regards to applicant's amendment pertaining to claims 19 and 46, Dr. Kliger's argument is most in view of a new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-18, 28-45 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over BAJIC (US PGPUB 2003/0227893 A1) in view of Melpignano et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0003912 A1, hereinafter Melpignano).

Consider claim 1 (and similarly applied to claim 28). Bajic discloses an apparatus for mobile communication, comprising: a switch, having a plurality of ports for connection to a wired local area network (LAN) (paragraph 52, read as all packets receive4d from mobile stations by a repeater without errors are forwarded to switch 301. Switch 301 knows which repeater sent the packet(s) because it is received on its preassigned port);

Art Unit: 2617

a plurality of repeaters, which are arranged in a wireless local area network (WLAN) to communicate over the air in accordance with a predefined WLAN protocol on a common frequency channel with a mobile station using a common basic service set identification (BSSID) for all the repeaters (paragraphs 45, 46, 121, read as each of the repeaters receives wireless communications from device (e.g. mobile stations) in the coverage areas of the repeaters. Although only three repeaters are shown, alternative embodiments may utilize any number of repeaters). Receive unit filters valid received frames by destination address, and BssId for group destination addresses), and which are coupled by the LAN to the switch so that upon receiving at one or more of the repeaters an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station on the common frequency channel (LAN backbone 102 also includes switch 301 which interfaces to repeaters 301₁-302₃), the one or more of the repeaters convey messages responsively to the uplink packet over the LAN to the switch (paragraph 52, read as each packet may be received by one or more repeaters. Each repeater that receives a packet from a mobile station without errors determines the received signal strength of the packet. The repeater encapsulates the packet into an Ethernet packet with the RSSI in a header and forwards the Ethernet packet to switch 301); and

a manager node, which is coupled to the switch so as to receive the messages and is adapted to process the messages so as to select one of the repeaters to respond to the uplink packet, and to send an instruction via the switch to the selected one of the repeaters to transmit to the mobile station a response to the uplink packet (paragraph 90, read as switch 301 may switch the packet to port 5, the port that associated with the communication path through repeater 302₀.

Thus, mobility is supported by simply moving a packet to a different port of switch 301 that is assigned to a different repeater).

Bajic discloses the claimed invention except he fails to explicitly teach access points and that a response to the uplink packet is within a time limit specified by the WLAN protocol (Bajic teaches repeaters).

However, Melpignano discloses access points (paragraphs 59, 94-98, 111-115, 123, 126, 137, read as a wireless communications system is in the form of shard resource network 10, in this case a Bluetooth local area network (BT LAN), and comprises a slave unit in the form of a mobile terminal MT and set of master units in the form of wireless access points AP₁₋₄ connected together via the shared resource network 10). In addition, Melpignano discloses a response to the uplink packet is within a time limit specified by the WLAN protocol (19, 31, 95, 101, 105, 108, read as the original connection may for example be broken on the expiration of a preset timeout or on receipt of an acknowledgment message received from said master unit).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Melpignano into the apparatus of Bajic in order to that signaling between the mobile terminal MT and the access points need not be the same as the manner in which the access points communicate with each other through the shared access network (paragraph 78). In addition, this technique may reduce interference (paragraph 105).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 2 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points have respective service areas, and are arranged so that the service areas substantially overlap (paragraph 50).

Consider claim 3 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are configured to communicate with the mobile station substantially in accordance with IEEE Standard 802.11 (paragraph 46).

Consider claim 4 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the LAN is an Ethernet LAN (paragraph 48).

Consider claim 5 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the LAN is characterized by a data transmission rate of at least 1 Gbps (paragraph 66).

Consider claim 6 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the LAN is characterized by a data transmission rate that is substantially less than 1 Gbps (paragraph 66).

Consider claim 7 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the manager node has an address on the LAN, and wherein the access points are adapted to convey the messages over the LAN in the form of data frames directed to the address of the manager node (paragraph 52).

Consider claim 8 and as applied to claim 7. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are configured to communicate over the LAN exclusively with the manager node (paragraph 56).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 9 and as applied to claim 7. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the uplink packet comprises an uplink data packet sent by the mobile station using the uplink signal, and wherein the access points are configured to fragment the uplink data packet among a succession of the data frames for conveyance over the LAN via the switch to the manager node (paragraph 116).

Consider claim 10 and as applied to claim 9. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are operative to fragment the uplink data packet so that the data frames have a length that is no more than 10% of a maximum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraph 126).

Consider claim 11 and as applied to claim 9. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are operative to fragment the uplink data packet so that the data frames have a length that is equal to a minimum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraph 116, 117).

Consider claim 12 and as applied to claim 9. Bajic discloses wherein the uplink data packet comprises a destination address, and wherein the manager node is adapted to reassemble the uplink data packet from the succession of the data frames, and to convey the reassembled packet via the switch over the LAN to the destination address (paragraphs 90, 116, 117).

Consider claim 13 and as applied to claim 12. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the manager node is connected to first and second ports among the plurality of the ports of the switch, and is configured to receive the data frames from the access points through the first port and to convey the reassembled packet to the LAN via the second port.

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 14 and as applied to claim 13. Bajic discloses wherein the manager node is further configured to receive a downlink data packet from the LAN via the second port, and to fragment the downlink data packet into a further succession of the data frames and to convey the further succession of the data frames via the first port to the selected one of the access points, which is operative to reassemble the downlink data packet for transmission over the WLAN to the mobile station (paragraph 116).

Consider claim 15 and as applied to claim 9. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the address of the manager node on the LAN comprises a Layer 3 address, and wherein each of the succession of the data frames among which the uplink data packet is fragmented comprises a Layer 3 encapsulating packet, having a destination address corresponding to the Layer 3 address of the manager node (Bajic; paragraph 95, Melpignano; paragraph 114).

Consider claim 16 and as applied to claim 1. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the messages conveyed by the access points responsively to the uplinkpacket comprise an indication of a strength of an uplink signal, conveying the uplink packet, received respectively by each of the one or more of the access points, and wherein the manager node is adapted to select, responsively to the indication and prior to receiving the messages from all of the one or more of the access points, the one of the access points to respond to the uplink packet (Bajic; paragraphs 60, 61, Melpignano; paragraph 97).

Consider claim 17 and as applied to claim 16. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are adapted to set, responsively to the strength of the uplink signal, a priority indicator in the messages to be conveyed over the LAN so as to

Art Unit: 2617

cause the switch to deliver a first message indicating a strong uplink signal before delivering a second message indicating a weak uplink signal.

Consider claim 18 and as applied to claim 16. Melpignano discloses wherein the access points are adapted, responsively to the strength of the uplink signal, to delay transmission of some of the messages over the LAN, so that a first message indicating a strong uplink signal is transmitted with a smaller delay than a second message indicating a weak uplink signal (paragraph 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Melpignano into the apparatus of Bajic in order to help it establish communications with base stations it has not yet encountered (paragraph 9).

Consider claim 29 and as applied to claim 28. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points have respective service areas, and wherein arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points so that the service areas substantially overlap (paragraph 52).

Consider claim 30 and as applied to claim 28. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points to communicate with the mobile station substantially in accordance with IEEE Standard 802.11 (paragraph 46).

Consider claim 31 and as applied to claim 28. Bajic discloses wherein the LAN is an Ethernet LAN (paragraph 48).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 32 and as applied to claim 31. Bajic discloses wherein conveying the messages comprises sending the messages over the Ethernet LAN at a data transmission rate of at least 1 Gbps (paragraph 66).

Consider claim 33 and as applied to claim 31. Bajic discloses wherein conveying the messages comprises sending the messages over the Ethernet LAN at a data transmission rate that is substantially less than 1 Gbps (paragraph 66).

Consider claim 34 and as applied to claim 28. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the manager node has an address on the LAN, and wherein conveying the messages comprises transmitting the messages over the LAN in the form of data frames directed to the address of the manager node (paragraph 52).

Consider claim 35 and as applied to claim 34. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are configured to communicate over the LAN exclusively with the manager node (paragraph 56).

Consider claim 36 and as applied to claim 34. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein receiving the uplink signal comprises receiving an uplink data packet sent by the mobile station, and wherein transmitting the messages comprises fragmenting the uplink data packet among a succession of the data frames for conveyance over the LAN via the switch to the manager node (paragraph 116).

Consider claim 37 and as applied to claim 36. Bajic discloses wherein fragmenting the uplink data packet comprises generating the data frames with a length that is no more than 10% of a maximum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraph 126)

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 38 and as applied to claim 36. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein fragmenting the uplink data packet comprises generating the data frames with a length that is equal to a minimum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraphs 116, 117).

Consider claim 39 and as applied to claim 36. Bajic discloses wherein the uplink data packet comprises a destination address, and comprising reassembling the uplink data packet at the manager node from the succession of the data frames, and conveying the reassembled packet over the LAN to the destination address (paragraphs 90, 116, 117).

Consider claim 40 and as applied to claim 39. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the LAN comprises a switch, and the manager node is connected to first and second ports of the switch, and wherein transmitting the messages comprises transmitting the data frames from the access points through the first port to the manager node, and wherein conveying the reassembled packet comprises transmitting the reassembled packet to the LAN via the second port.

Consider claim 41 and as applied to claim 40. Bajic discloses and comprising: receiving at the manager node a downlink data packet from the LAN via the second port; fragmenting the downlink data packet into a further succession of the data frames; conveying the further succession of the data frames via the first port to the selected one of the access points; and reassembling the downlink data packet at the selected one of the access points for transmission over the WLAN to the mobile station (paragraph 116).

Consider claim 42 and as applied to claim 36. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the address of the manager node on the LAN comprises a Layer 3 address, and wherein each of

Art Unit: 2617

the succession of the data frames among which the uplink data packet is fragmented comprises a Layer 3 encapsulating packet, having a destination address corresponding to the Layer 3 address of the manager node (Bajic; paragraph 95; Melpignano; paragraph 114).

Consider claim 43 and as applied to claim 28. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein conveying the messages comprises conveying an indication of a strength of an uplink signal, conveying the uplink packet, received respectively by each of the one or more of the access points, and wherein processing the messages comprises selecting at the manager node, responsively to the indication and prior to receiving the messages from all of the one or more of the access points, the one of the access points to respond to the uplink packet (Bajic; paragraphs 60, 61, Melpignano; paragraph 97).

Consider claim 44 and as applied to claim 43. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein conveying the indication comprises setting, responsively to the strength of the uplink signal, a priority indicator in the messages to be conveyed over the LAN so as to cause the switch to deliver a first message indicating a strong uplink signal before delivering a second message indicating a weak uplink signal.

Consider claim 45 and as applied to claim 43. Melpignano discloses wherein conveying the indication comprises delaying, responsively to the strength of the uplink signal, transmission of some of the messages, so that a first message indicating a strong uplink signal is transmitted with a smaller delay than a second message indicating a weak uplink signal (paragraph 9).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Melpignano into the apparatus of Bajic in order to help it establish communications with base stations it has not yet encountered (paragraph 9).

Claims 19-27, 46-54 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over BAJIC (US PGPUB 2003/0227893 A1) in view of Melpignano et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0003912 A1, hereinafter Melpignano) and further in view of Patel et al. (US PGPUB 2004/0267896 A1, hereinafter Patel).

Consider claim 19 (and similarly applied to claim 46). Bajic discloses an apparatus for mobile communication, comprising:

a switch, having a plurality of ports for connection to a wired local area network (LAN) (paragraph 52, read as all packets receive4d from mobile stations by a repeater without errors are forwarded to switch 301. Switch 301 knows which repeater sent the packet(s) because it is received on its preassigned port);

a plurality of repeaters, which are arranged in a wireless local area network (WLAN) to communicate over the air with a mobile station, and which are coupled by the LAN to the switch so that upon receiving at one or more of the repeaters an uplink message transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station (paragraphs 45, 46, 121, read as each of the repeaters receives wireless communications from device (e.g. mobile stations) in the coverage areas of the repeaters. Although only three repeaters are shown, alternative embodiments may utilize any number of repeaters). Receive unit filters valid received frames by destination address, and

Art Unit: 2617

BssId for group destination addresses), the one or more of the repeaters convey the uplink message over the LAN to the switch (paragraph 52, read as each packet may be received by one or more repeaters. Each repeater that receives a packet from a mobile station without errors determines the received signal strength of the packet. The repeater encapsulates the packet into an Ethernet packet with the RSSI in a header and forwards the Ethernet packet to switch 301); and

a manager node, which is connected to first and second ports among the plurality of the ports of the switch, and is configured to receive uplink messages from the repeaters through the first port and to convey the uplink message via the second port over the LAN to respective destination addresses of the message (paragraph 90, read as switch 301 may switch the packet to port 5, the port that associated with the communication path through repeater 302₀. Thus, mobility is supported by simply moving a packet to a different port of switch 301 that is assigned to a different repeater).

Bajic discloses the claimed invention except he fails to explicitly teach access points (Bajic teaches repeaters).

However, Melpignano discloses access points (paragraphs 59, 94-98, 111-115, 123, 126, 137; read as a wireless communications system is in the form of shard resource network 10, in this case a Bluetooth local area network (BT LAN), and comprises a slave unit in the form of a mobile terminal MT and set of master units in the form of wireless access points AP₁₋₄ connected together via the shared resource network 10).

Art Unit: 2617

"Control Number: 10// 04,90

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Melpignano into the apparatus of Bajic in order to that signaling between the mobile terminal MT and the access points need not be the same as the manner in which the access points communicate with each other through the shared access network (paragraph 78).

In addition, Bajic and Melpignano fail to disclose that uplink messages from the access points are received exclusively through the first port and to convey the uplink message exclusively via the second port.

However, Patel discloses that uplink messages from the access points are received exclusively through the first port and to convey the uplink message exclusively via the second port (paragraph 23, read as Router 215 can connect uplink 216 to a suitable port, such as port 12, and downlink 218 to a different suitable port, such as port 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Patel into the invention of Bajic and Melpignano in order to be able to transmit data to end users at high-speed (paragraph 23).

Consider claim 20 and as applied to claim 19. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are configured to communicate over the LAN exclusively with the manager node via the first port in response to uplink messages received from the mobile station (paragraph 56).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 21 and as applied to claim 19. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are configured to communicate with the mobile station substantially in accordance with IEEE Standard 802.11 (paragraph 46).

Consider claim 22 and as applied to claim 19. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the manager node has first and second addresses on the LAN, which are respectively associated with the first and second ports, and wherein the access points are adapted to convey the uplink message over the LAN in the form of data frames directed to the first address.

Consider claim 23 and as applied to claim 22. Melpignano discloses wherein the uplink message comprises a data packet, and wherein the access points are adapted to fragment the uplink data packet among a succession of the data frames for conveyance over the LAN to the first address, and wherein the manager node is adapted to reassemble the data packet from the succession of the data frames, and to convey the reassembled data packet via the second port over the LAN to the destination address, using the second address as a source address (paragraph 126).

Consider claim 24 and as applied to claim 23. Bajic and Melpignano disclose discloses wherein the access points are operative to fragment the data packet so that the data frames have a length that is no more than 10% of a maximum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraph 126).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 25 and as applied to claim 23. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the access points are operative to fragment the data packet so that the data frames have a length that is equal to a minimum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraphs 116, 117).

Consider claim 26 and as applied to claim 23. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the address of the manager node on the LAN comprises a Layer 3 address, and wherein each of the succession of the data frames among which the uplink data packet is fragmented comprises a Layer 3 encapsulating packet, which is addressed to the Layer 3 address of the manager node (Bajic; paragraph 95, Melpignano; paragraph 114).

Consider claim 27 and as applied to claim 19. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein the manager node is further configured to receive a downlink message from the LAN via the second port, and to convey the downlink message via the first port to one of the access points, which is operative to transmit the downlink message over the WLAN to the mobile station.

Consider claim 47 and as applied to claim 46. Bajic and Melpignano discloses wherein arranging the plurality of the access points comprises configuring the access points to communicate over the LAN exclusively with the manager node via the first port in response to uplink messages received from the mobile station (paragraph 56).

Consider claim 48 and as applied to claim 46. Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points to communicate with the mobile station substantially in accordance with IEEE Standard 802.11 (paragraph 46).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 49 and as applied to claim 46. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose wherein coupling the manager node comprises assigning to the manager node first and second addresses on the LAN, which are respectively associated with the first and second ports, and wherein passing the uplink message comprises sending the uplink message over the LAN in the form of one or more data frames directed to the first address.

Consider claim 50 and as applied to claim 49. Bajic and Melpignano discloses wherein the uplink message comprises an uplink data packet, and wherein passing the uplink message comprises fragmenting the upstream data packet among a succession of the data frames for conveyance over the LAN to the MAC address, and wherein conveying the uplink message comprises reassembling the data packet from the succession of the data frames, and conveying the reassembled packet via the second port over the LAN to the destination address, using the second address as a source address (paragraph 126).

Consider claim 51 and as applied to claim 50. Bajic discloses wherein fragmenting the uplink data packet comprises generating the data frames with a length that is no more than 10% of a maximum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraph 126).

Consider claim 52 and as applied to claim 50. Bajic discloses wherein fragmenting the uplink data packet comprises generating the data frames with a length that is equal to a minimum frame length permitted on the LAN (paragraphs 116, 117).

Consider claim 53 and as applied to claim 50. Bajic and Melpignano discloses wherein the address of the manager node on the LAN comprises a Laver 3 address, and wherein each of the succession of the data frames among which the uplink data packet is fragmented comprises a

Layer 3 encapsulating packet, which is addressed to the Layer 3 address of the manager node (Bajic; paragraph 95, Melpignano; paragraph 114).

Consider claim 54 and as applied to claim 46. The combination of Bajic and Melpignano disclose and comprising: receiving at the manager node a downlink message from the LAN via the second port; conveying the downlink message via the first port from the manager node to one of the access points; and transmitting the downlink message over the WLAN from the one of the access points to the mobile station.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Art Unit: 2617

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

September 5, 2007

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600